

This work was made possible through a grant from Alberta Environment and Parks.



Miistakis is deeply appreciative of this support, as well as the respectful autonomy afforded to us by AEP that allowed us to pursue this analysis as an independent research institute.

Private Land Conservation and CARTS: Proposed Revised Database Fields

Prepared by Guy Greenaway August 2018

Miistakis Institute Rm U271, Mount Royal University 4825 Mount Royal Gate SW Calgary, Alberta T3E 6K6 Phone: (403) 440-8444 Web: www.rockies.ca

Email: institute@rockies.ca

Contents

Summary	1
All Conservation Areas	1
Identifiers	1
Zonation	1
Location and Size	1
Ecological	1
Agency and Ownership	1
Protection and Management	2
Data Management	2
Other	2
Conservation Area Type Sub-Fields	
Public Protected Areas (PAs)	
Privately Protected Areas (PPAs)	3
Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures (OECMs)	
Detailed	4

Summary

All Conservation Areas

Identifiers

- · Name of conservation area
- Unique identifier number

Zonation

- · Sub-zone of conservation area
- Sub-zone name
- Sub-zone description

Location and Size

- Location, Spatially explicit
- Province/Territory
- Municipality
- Area

Ecological

- Ecosystem Type
- Ecodistrict
- Biodiversity conservation contribution

Agency and Ownership

- Conservation agency
- Conservation agency type
- Land owner type

Protection and Management

- Conservation area type
- Protective measures
- Effective management regime

Data Management

- Date of effect
- Data provider
- Date of most recent data update
- Delisted
- Date of CARTS delisting

Other

- Screening Report
- General comments about conservation area

Conservation Area Type Sub-Fields

(set of fields that open based on the type of conservation area chosen 'Protection and Management')

Public Protected Areas (PAs)

- · Type of publicly protected area
- · Managing jurisdiction of area
- IUCN Category for area
- Legal status of zone
- Enabling legislation for zone
- Property owner of zone

Privately Protected Areas (PPAs)

- Type of privately protected area
- IUCN Category for area
- Public access

Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measures (OECMs)

- OECM category
- Management intent
- Public access

Detailed

All Conservation	Possible Responses	Notes
Areas		
Identifiers		
Name of	Name	 If conservation area is split into 'sub-zones', each sub-zone
conservation area	Name – Zone name	name would start with the parent area name
Unique identifier	XXXXXXXX	An identification code for each conservation area that will be
number	XXXXXXX – ZXX	unique from other conservation areas
		• Nomenclature could be developed that uses first two characters
		for province
		 Should be generated automatically by CARTS
		Would automatically add zone number if 'sub-zone' field returns
		TRUE
Zonation		
Sub-zone of	• Yes / No	• Some agencies may want to separate a single conservation area
conservation area		into sub-zones with different attributes
		 All information from that point would apply to the sub-zone
		rather than the whole conservation area
Sub-zone name	• [if yes]	Added to parent name in case where 'sub-zone' field returns
	• Zone name	TRUE
		•

Location and Size

• [if yes]

Text

Sub-zone

description

• E.g., Marine portion, Wilderness zone, wetland area, specialized

grazing management area

Location, Spatially	Uploaded Shapefile	Requires spatially-explicit description
explicit	KMz file	Shapefile could auto-populate the other fields
Province/Territory	List of provinces	Shapefile could auto-populate this information
	County/municipality	
Municipality	Municipality (county, city, town,	Shapefile could auto-populate this information
	RM, MD, etc.)	
Area	Number (hectares)	Shapefile could auto-populate this information

Ecological

Ecosystem – Type Ecodistrict	 Marine - % Terrestrial - % Fresh water - % Natural Regions 	 Several conservation areas will be a combination of types Percentage allocations will allow for later analysis as to how many hectares of each type are protected Could be a different set for each province
ECOUISTICE	 Ecozone / ecoregions Ecodistricts	Shapefile could auto-populate this information
Biodiversity conservation contribution	 Ecological connectivity Important patches of terrestrial or aquatic wildlife habitat Important areas of natural vegetation Vulnerable, rare, or irreplaceable species and their habitat Riparian, wetland and riverine systems Buffering known areas of biological diversity Mitigating known threats to areas of important biological diversity 	• [taken from screening report]

Protecting evolutionary pathways important in the face of climate	
change	
Sequestering carbon above or	
below ground using natural	
vegetation communities	
Restoring ecological structure and	
function to a natural state	

Agency and Ownership

Conservation agency	Agency / Organization Name	 E.g., managing jurisdiction of park, holder of conservation easement, authority responsible for enforcing use restrictions, land management authority, community responsible for area management Contact information
Conservation	Federal government or agency	This is the type of entity responsible for ensuring that
agency type	 Provincial government or agency Municipal government Land trust / conservancy Company Community ? 	biodiversity is conserved on the property
Property owner of	Name	E.g., Environment and Climate Change Canada, Canadian
conservation area		Wildlife Service, provincial parks agency, municipality, land trust, company, private owner
Land owner type	Crown – federal	Choice of one - the most appropriate
	Crown – provincial	In case of overlap, add details in 'General Comments'
	Municipality	
	Private individual	

Company / corporationConservation NGO	
Other NGO	
Community group	

Protection and Management

Conservation area type	 Publicly Protected Area (PA) Privately Protected Area (PPA) Other Effective Area-based Conservation Measure (OECM) 	Choice here would direct database user to one of the three subsets of fields (see below)
Protective measures	 Enforceable restrictions and/or prescriptions on the allowable land use activities that could significantly affect the identified ecological values Gazetted protected areas Conservation easement Ownership by land trust or conservancy Enduring management plan Binding agreement with rights holders Community covenant 	[taken from screening report]
Effective management regime	•	[taken from screening report]

Data Management

Date of effect	• Date	The date at which the conservation area was effectively conserved
Data provider	NameContact information	 Name of agency or conservation manager or agent who is responsible for the transmission and accuracy of the data Contact information can and should be included
Date of most recent data update	• Date	•
Delisted	• Yes / No	•
Date of CARTS delisting	• Date	•

Other

Screening Report	Downloadable document	Summary of the screening report and decision
General comments	Text	•
about conservation		
area		

Protected Areas	Possible Responses	Notes
Type of publicly protected area	E.g., Ecological Reserve; Provincial Park; National Wildlife Area Null values are not permitted	 E.g., Ecological Reserve; Provincial Park; National Wildlife Area Null values are not permitted
Managing jurisdiction of area	•	•
IUCN Category for area	• Ia, Ib, II, III, IV, V, VI, YES, N/A	•
Legal status of zone	Legally Designated, Gazetted, Interim, Designated by	•

	ENGO/Private, Proposed Site, Degazetted •	
Enabling legislation	•	E.g., Canada Wildlife Act, provincial parks act, National Parks Act
for zone		

Sub Field – Privately Protected Areas	Field - PPA	Possible Respo	onses Notes
Type of privately protected area	company	and conserved by and conserved by and conserved by and conserved	 [need way to address that simple "ownership" does not ensure that specific parcel will endure as a protected area] Check all that apply
IUCN Category for area	• Ia, Ib, II, III, IV, V,	VI, YES, N/A	As these are protected areas, it makes sense to use the protected area categories

Sub-Field - OECMs	Possible Responses	Notes
OECM category	•	 Need to develop a set of categories for OECMs akin to the range of types available for describing protected areas These are – by definition – NOT Protected Areas, so using PA categories would not make sense
Management intent	Ecological conservation	• [taken from screening report]

Public access

Yes / No

	 Range management Cultivation Recreation Open space preservation Scenic / aesthetic protection Ecological research / environmental education ? 	
Public access	• Yes / No	Must be Yes or No

Please direct questions/comments regarding this document to:

Guy Greenaway Miistakis Institute

4825 Mount Royal Gate SW Calgary, AB T3E 6K6

P: 403-440-8444 E: guy@rockies.ca